

differences among themselves and if there is a great deal of bitterness between different segments of the banking industry here perhaps if we could bracket this bill until next session that they would have a chance, they have their State conventions coming up, both groups--the Independents and the Nebraska Bankers--and I think that they could in their meetings probably resolve these differences and come in and we'd have so much better acceptance of this type of legislation. So I would at this time like to move that we bracket this bill and hold it until the 1974 session.

SPEAKER: Hold on a second here. Senator Lewis, your motion is to bracket? Chair must rule that the motion to postpone indefinitely takes precedence. But if the motion to postpone indefinitely fails of course you can then move to bracket.

SENATOR R. LEWIS: We're going by our version of the rules but down here in the a-b-c and so forth of precedence, we feel that this might take precedence over...

SPEAKER: Well, this is perhaps a close decision. On page 37 of the rules, it gives the order of motions. To postpone to a time certain does take precedence over to postpone indefinitely but yours is to bracket. Just a minute, Senator Duis here wants to speak on this.

SENATOR DUIS: I was going to make the same comment that you were, Mr. President. The motion to postpone to a time certain would take precedence. I merely was trying to convey that same thought.

SPEAKER: Yes, under, if you'll look at page 37 if you do make a motion to postpone to a time certain that does take precedence over the postpone indefinitely, but to bracket I would, it would be the interpretation of the chair is to postpone to an indefinite time and not to a time certain. Senator Lewis.

SENATOR R. LEWIS: Mr. Speaker, could I restate my motion?

SPEAKER: Oh, yes.

SENATOR R. LEWIS: Could I restate it to make it January 10, a date certain, 1974?

SPEAKER: Well, that's interesting. Now the chair's going to rule, admittedly this is a close question and you certainly have the option to overrule the chair but I don't believe that would be a valid motion within the spirit of the rules so I'm going to rule that out of order and that the motion to postpone indefinitely prevails. Senator Marsh.

SENATOR MARSH: I am not in favor of killing this piece of legislation. This is to permit banks of any size to maintain two detached facilities. One must be within 2600 feet, one could be farther than that but in some of our locations as you gentlemen are surely aware, there is not land available within 2600 feet at a reasonable cost to the bank. This is why I favor this piece of legislation.

SPEAKER: Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, of course I rise to oppose my good friend Senator Chambers and my plumber friend in sheep's clothing today wearing the hat of the plumber who is really in all reality a banker, heart and soul, and who has very, I shouldn't say cleverly, but I will say intelligently confused the issue here by intimidating or simplifying that some deep dark ulterior force is at work in the promotion of this bill. I want to clear that up now and I hope for all time. I introduced a bill at the request of my banker, Mr. Peck at Columbus, a former